SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, AUGUST 14, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S068704 In re Eben Gossage

On Admission THE COURT

We reject the State Bar Court's recommendation and decline to admit Gossage to the practice of law.

S069306 The People, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

Ricardo Aguirre Robles, Defendant and Respondent. We affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Kennard, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Baxter, J.

I Concur:

Brown, J.

S014772 In re Randy Steven Kraft

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. To the extent the petition alleges insufficiency of the evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (*In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.) To the extent the petition alleges a violation of the Fourth Amendment by the introduction at trial of illegally seized evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (*In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497.) To the extent the petition asserts error in the denial of petitioner's

pretrial severance motion and in the admission of the list entry, it is barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225. To the extent the petition alleges error in a trial court ruling on the scope of cross-examination, error in the admission of assertedly inflammatory evidence on the Hall charge, and bias on the part of the trial court, it is barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759. All claims asserted in the petition are also denied on the merits.

S014799 In re Randy Steven Kraft

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *Fierro v. Terhune* (9th Cir. 1998) 147 F.3d 1158, 1160.)

S015614 In re Randy Steven Kraft

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. To the extent the petition alleges insufficiency of the evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (In re Lindley (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.) To the extent the petition alleges a violation of the Fourth Amendment by the introduction at trial of illegally seized evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (In re Lessard (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497.) To the extent the petition asserts error in the denial of petitioner's pretrial severance motion, the seizure of the photographs and the admission of the list entry, it is barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225. To the extent the petition alleges error in a trial court ruling on the scope of cross-examination, error in the admission of assertedly inflammatory evidence on the Loggins charge, and bias on the part of the trial court, it is barred under *In re* Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759. All claims asserted in the petition are also denied on the merits.

S016342 In re Randy Steven Kraft

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. To the extent the petition alleges insufficiency of the evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (*In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.) To the extent the petition alleges a violation of the Fourth Amendment by the introduction at trial of illegally seized evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (*In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497.) To the extent the petition asserts error in the denial of petitioner's pretrial severance motion and in the admission of the list entry, it is barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225. To the extent the petition alleges error in a trial court ruling on the scope of cross-examination and bias on the part of the trial court, it is barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759. All claims asserted in the petition are also denied on the merits.

S017126 In re Randy Steven Kraft

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. To the extent the petition alleges insufficiency of the evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (*In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.) To the extent the petition alleges a violation of the Fourth Amendment by the introduction at trial of illegally seized evidence, such a claim is not cognizable on petition for writ of habeas corpus. (*In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497.) To the extent the petition asserts error in the denial of petitioner's pretrial severance motion and in the admission of the list entries, it is barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225. To the extent the petition alleges error in a trial court ruling on the scope of cross-examination and bias on the part of the trial court, it is barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759. All claims asserted in the petition are also denied on the merits.

S018447 In re Randy Steven Kraft

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. To the extent the petition asserts error in the denial of petitioner's pretrial severance motion and in the admission of the list entry, it is barred under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225. To the extent the petition alleges error in a trial court ruling on the scope of cross-examination and bias on the part of the trial court, it is barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759. All claims asserted in the petition are also denied on the merits.

S085584 People, Respondent

v.

Eddie Vasquez, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including September 6, 2000.

No further extensions are contemplated.

S087484 Lachi Delisa Richards, Respondent

V.

CH2M Hill, Incorporated, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including September 11, 2000.

S087478 People, Respondent

v.

Nora Deborah Moss, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Valerie G. Wass is hereby appointed to represent appellant on her appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

1st Dist. Linens 'N Things

A091601

v.

WCAB, Patricia Wiseman

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

2nd Dist. Transfer Orders

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, are transferred from Division Six to Division One:

B142946 – San Diego County Employees Retirement Association v. Ventura County Superior Court

B143262 – In re Ahmad Anderson on Habeas Corpus

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)